ORDER DISMISSING COMPLAINT ~ 1

of his obligation to provide the Court with any change of address. ECF No. 5. Indeed, Plaintiff must have understood his obligation because he did so on August 24, 2017. ECF No. 8. It is Plaintiff's responsibility to keep the Court informed of his current address and he has failed to do so.

Here, the Court has weighed the factors required to be considered prior to dismissal. *Carey v. King*, 856 F.2d 1439, 1440 (9th Cir. 1988) (quoting five factors listed in *Henderson v. Duncan*, 779 F.2d 142, 1423 (9th Cir. 1986)). Additionally, notice to Plaintiff now would be a futile gesture and no less drastic sanction is available to the Court. *Carey*, 856 F.2d at 1441. It would be absurd to require this Court to hold this case in abeyance indefinitely waiting to see if Plaintiff would someday update his address and prosecute this lawsuit. *See id*.

ACCORDINGLY, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED:

- 1. The complaint (ECF No. 10) is **DISMISSED without prejudice** for failure to state a claim upon which relief may be granted under 28 U.S.C. §§ 1915(e)(2) and 1915A(b)(1) and failure to prosecute.
- 2. Plaintiff's in forma pauperis status is revoked.
- 3. The Court certifies any appeal of this dismissal would not be taken in good faith.
- The District Court Executive is directed to enter this Order, enter judgment, and **close** the file. The District Court Executive is further directed to forward a copy of

this Order to the Office of the Attorney General of Washington, Corrections Division.

DATED December 20, 2017.



THOMAS O. RICE
Chief United States District Judge